## Evaluation for Res Am Gov Pub Pol (POL 290A) - Fall Quarter 2019

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.\*

```
excellent
very good
satisfactory
fair
poor
```

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

```
5
4
3
2
1
n/a
```

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

```
5
4
3
2
1
n/a
```

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low



The following responses apply to Rachel Bernhard (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.\*

```
excellent
very good
satisfactory
fair
poor
```

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor



n/a

Instructor's openness to discussion and	ability to stimulate it. (5	5) Excellent, (4) Very good,	(3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor
---	-----------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

5			
4			
3			
2			
1			
n/a			

Instructor's availability for consultation. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5			
4			
3			
2			
1			
n/a			

Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor



Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor



Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor



Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor



## Evaluation for Res Am Gov Pub Pol (POL 290A) - Fall Quarter 2019

The following responses apply to the entire course.

Please indicate the overall educational value of the course.\*

```
excellent
very good
satisfactory
fair
poor
```

UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below

```
5

4

3

2

1

n/a
```

Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F

```
5
4
3
2
1
n/a
```

Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low

```
5
4
3
2
1
n/a
```

The following responses apply to Rachel Bernhard (Instructor) only.

Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the instructor.\*

```
excellent
very good
satisfactory
fair
poor
```

Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

5		
4		
3		
2		
1		

n/a
Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor
5
4
, 3
2
I
n/a
Instructor's availability for consultation. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor
5
4
3
2
1
n/a
Clarity of course objectives and organization. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor
5
4
3
2
I
n/a
Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor
5
4
3
2
n/a
Relevance and educational value of readings and WorldWideWeb resources. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor
5
4
<i>3</i> 2
1
n/a
Instructional value of course assignments (term papers, project, etc.). (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1)
Poor
5
4

3 2 1

n/a